19/05/09-18:46

US 08/809,620 (TE20090515) Fax to Cassandra for Call on April 24, 2009

HEGEVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 19 2009

Date: May 19, 2009

To: Cassandra SPYROU
Technical Center 2800

via fax 571 273 8300

From : Goulven VERNOIS 8, sentier des Laminaires 56610 ARRADON

France

Tel 33 2 97 44 07 11 Fax 33 2 97 61 11 27 vernois.5678@wanadoo.fr

application 08/809,620

Subject: Call on April 24, 2009

Dear Guardian Angel Sandie,

There is probably a mistake somewhere I have carefully examined the document EXIN Examiner Interview Summary record (PTOL 413).

Not ambiguity, it is well my Application Number 08/809,620.

Unfortunately, it is not possible to print the docs on "Available Documents" in Image File Wrapper.

· This document EXIN is constituted by 4 sheets.

**The sheet 1** is a classical Form PTOL-90A, which is always in each mail post, with Application Number 08/809,620, Filing date 02/05/1998, First name Inventor Vernois Goulven, Attorney Docket N°, Confirmation N° /803.

On the second line:7590 - 05/12/2009 - .Examiner NGUYEN THONG Q.

On the third line: VERNOIS GOULVEN

1 RUE DES CHALETS VELIZY, 78140

France

Art Unit 2872 -Paper Number

On the fourth line: Mail Date 05/12/2009 - Delivery mode PAPER

19/05/09-18:46

US 08/809,620 (TE20090515)
Fax to Cassandra for Call on April 24, 2009

27 VERNOIS GOULVEN

MEGRIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTRAL
MAY 1 9 2009

02/03

19/05/09-18:46

US 08/809,620 (TE20090515)
Fax to Cassandra for Call on April 24, 2009

On the flyeth line:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concorning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in a attached communication.

Sheet 2 Interview Summary - Application 08/809,620 - Applicant Goulven Vernois - Examiner Paul V. WARD - Art Unit 1624 -

(1) Paul V. WARD - (2) GOULVEN VERNOIS - (3) GLORIA ANTHONY

Date of Interview: 01 May 2009

Type: a) Telephonic

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet

## Sheet 3 Administrative text

# Sheet 4 - Continuation sheet - Application 08/809,620

Continuation of Substance of Interview Including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr.Ward explained to Vernois that Mr. Ward was not the Examiner, but was translating in French for Ms. Anthony what was requried to prosecution of this case. Mr. Ward and Ms Anthony explained (in French) to Vernois that in order to continue examing the application, Applicant needed to submit a new set of claims and a fee for a 5 month extension of time to make the Amendment timely and compliant with the rule 1.121. Vernois responded by saying that he would submit the new set of claims (by fax) and pay the fee.

Mr. Ward a expliqué à Vernois que Mr. Ward n'était pas "l'Examinateur", mais traduisait en français pour Mme Anthony ce qui était requis pour continuer à examiner la demande de brevet.

M. Ward et Mme Anthony ont expliqué (en français) à Vernois que pour continuer la demande (l'application), la Candidat a eu besoin de soumettre un nouveau jeu de reciamations et des nonoraires pendant un 5 mois extension de temps, pour faire l'Amendement opportun et docile avec la Règle/l'Autorité 1.121. Vernois a répondu en disant qu'il soumettrait le nouveau jeu de réclamations (selon le fax ou télécopie) et payerait les honoraires.

Dear Sandie, 1	hope that	you have	understood
So, explain to	me!		

As you have seen in a previous fax, I have replied to M. Ward and Ms Anthony without pay.

It is intolerable that, in an official PTO document, the main date was inacurate!

19/05/09-18:46

2 US 08/809,620 (TE20090515) Fax to Cassandra for Call on April 24, 2009 19/05/09-18:55

US 08/809,620 (TE20090515)
Fax to Cassandra for Call on April 24, 2009

### Another thing.

On 09/17/2008, it is a interesting document - IMIS - Miscellanous Internal Document where I see that the 200\$ fee paid for extension of time of 1 month does not was the good fee which was 230\$.

Document Code IMIS Notice of Fee Due

Date 09/18/2008

N' application 08/809,620

---/---

Fee code to be applied

2252

\$230 \$200

Amount in holding fee code 1506

1622/2622

1999

Total remaining due from applicant

\$30

~ PAPPER PROPERTY AND A PAPPER PROPERTY AND A PAPPER PAPPE

RAM Operator

VB

Rev. 12/27/07

No request was done by PTO.

#### Another thing Public Pair

All my documents in Image File Wrapper can be read by every one with an internet access, and with suitable soft, be printed.

Though, I read in "Private PAIR", on the PTO site, that this Private PAIR allows; with a personnal code "Customer Number" given by PTO, only to the applicant to read the personnal documents supposedly potected by this Customer Number.

Private PAIR (Registered user access to status and documents for pending application)
But, in fact, the main part of the documents are legible in Public Part.

You can imagine easily that in India, for 150\$ per month, workers can read and printed systematicaly 95% of the documents of PTO...for, by example Foreign State...or US attorneys. These attorneys can so see if Examiners help applicants for procedure under 37CFR 1.121(g)...and do pressure on them to beat these applicants...

A new customer paying largely the particular contribution of each attorney to Indian workers.

#### Another thing - My new address

I have sent to Mr. Nguyen my new address by fax, there is some years, and I am surprised that my former address would be always in PTO documents.

Dear Guardian Angel Sandie, help me!

Truly Yours Goulven